DISSENT and Opinion Filed March 6, 2020



In The Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas

No. 05-18-01333-CV

IN RE BRUCE BISHOP, ASHLEY HUTCHESON, DALLAS COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY, AND MISSY SHOREY, Relators

Original Proceeding from the 68th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. DC-18-14298

OPINION DISSENTING FROM WITHDRAWAL OF OPINION

Before the Court En Banc Dissenting Opinion by Justice Whitehill

Because the underlying suit has been dismissed with prejudice by the parties' agreement, the case is moot and we no longer have subject matter jurisdiction. *See Williams v. Lara*, 52 S.W.3d 171, 185 (Tex. 2001) (courts lack jurisdiction over moot claims); *In re Eui-Tak Shin*, No. 05-16-00277-CV, 2016 WL 3006311, at *1 (Tex. App.—Dallas May 23, 2016, orig. proceeding) (parties' settlement mooted mandamus proceeding). Therefore, the proper disposition is to vacate our prior judgment and dismiss the proceeding without reaching the merits. *See Speer v. Presbyterian Children's Home & Serv. Agency*, 847 S.W.2d 227, 230 (Tex. 1993).

Instead, an en banc majority retreats from the panel opinion by withdrawing

it and dismisses the case. Why withdraw the opinion unless it is to signal

disapproval? But we lost subject matter jurisdiction to rule on the merits when the

case became moot. Since we no longer have subject matter jurisdiction, the en banc

court should not withdraw the panel opinion.

Accordingly, I dissent.

/Bill Whitehill/

BILL WHITEHILL

JUSTICE

Bridges, J., joins this dissenting opinion

181333HD.P05